Construction of ZDC with LYSO crystals

Wen-Chen Chang, Kai-Yu Cheng, Chia-Yu Hsieh, Chia-Ming Kuo, Chih-Hsun Lin, Po-Ju Lin, Rong-Shyang Lu, Jen-Chieh Peng

AS/NCU/NTU

The Electron-Ion Collider

- The EIC will be a machine to unlock the secrets of the strongest force in Nature
- It is a unique, high-energy, highluminosity, polarized beam collider that will be one of the most challenging and exciting accelerator complexes ever built — the only new collider in the next decades
- The detector R&D has been embarked
- It will come online in 2032



The ePIC Experiment



Far-Forward Physics at EIC



 All these processes require the detection of protons, neutrons, photons and hadrons at small scattering angles
 → Major EIC science and detector emphasis

ZDC Requirements

Physics process	Final state particles (for ZDC)	Required HCAL energy resolution	Required HCAL angular resolution	Required ECAL energy resolution	Required ECAL spatial resolution
Spectator tagged e+d breakup	Neutrons	50%/√E ⊕ 5%	2 mrad/√E	N/A	N/A
Exclusive π⁺ production	Neutrons			N/A	N/A
Incoherent vetoing of e+A events	Neutrons/ photons	100%/√E	N/A	100 MeV photon sensitivity	N/A
u-channel backward VCS	Photons	N/A	N/A	20%/√E ⊕ 3%	<1-2 cm
π/K structure functions	Λ 0→n+π ⁰	35-50%/√E ⊕ 3-5%	2 mrad/√E	2-5%/√E ⊕ 1-3%	<1-2 cm

ZDC





Originally proposed ZDC Recently

Recently proposed ZDC

Comparison of Various Crystals

	X 0	LY (ph/MeV)	T dep. of LY (%/K)	Decay time (ns)	λ _{em} nm
PbWO₄ (CMS)	0.89 cm	200	-1.98	5 (73%) 14 (23%) 110 (4%)	420
LYSO	1.14 cm	30,000 (market standard)	-0.28	36	420
GAGG	1.59 cm	40,000 — 60,000		50-150	520
SciGlass	2.4-2.8 cm	>100		22-400	440-460

Choice of Various Photo Detectors

	PIN (SFH2704)	APD (S12053-05)	SiPM (C10010)
Gain	1	1-50	2×10 ⁵
Output Type	Analogue	Analogue	Analogue or Digital
Operational Bias(V)	6	150-200	24.2-24.7
Spectral Range (nm)	400-1100	200-1000	300-950
Peak Sensitivity (nm)	900	620	420
PDE/QE (%)		80	18
Dark Current (nA)	0.1-25	0.2-5	1-10
Rise Time (ns)	47	0.875	0.3

Proposed LYSO Crystals to ePIC

Taiwan's contribution and plans for the ZDC

Chia-Ming Kuo (NCU, Taiwan) on behalf of the EIC-Taiwan team

2023/3/17 EIC Asia Workshop at RIKEN, Japan

ZDC ECAL Prototype with LYSO Crystals



8x8 array: 56.96 mm x 56.96 mm

Readout for the ZDC ECAL Prototype with LYSO Crystals

- Designed by Chih-Hsun Lin of Academia Sinica
- 64 channels
- Trigger:
 - Self-triggered
 - Can accept external timing signal → needs to be studied
 - May accept external trigger
 → needs to be studied





Test Setup









Tests with Co-60



We use Co-60 and LYSO intrinsic radiation to calibrate the detector.

- @HV = 27.00V
- → 1.330 MeV @ 17005 digit
- ➔ 1.330 MeV / 17005 digit ~ 7.8e-5 MeV / digit Saturated digit = 11, 0000 digit
- → 11,0000 digit * 0.1268MeV = 8.6MeV
- → Saturated at 8.6MeV This HV/gain is too high for our beam test condition.
- HV setting range = 24.7V to 28.2V

Beam Test @ ELPH

- A beam test with positrons was conducted at the ELPH, Tohoku University, between 15 and 21 February 2024
- Beam time: ~36 hours (19 and 21 February 2024)
- Beam energy: 47.18 MeV up to 823.26 MeV
- Rate: 1,000 3,000 Hz
- Participants: RIKEN, Tsukuba University, Tsukuba University of Technology, Sejong University, EIC-Taiwan

Beam Test @ ELPH



Run List

	Run range	Source/Beam	Purpose	
HV Scan	1 — 20	Co60 (1-6, 20) Na22 (7 - 19)	Verify gains	
"Background"	21 — 33	Intrinsic radiation	Understand instrinsic radiation rate with threshold cuts	
Gain Calibration	33 — 36	Na22 (34 — 37)	Calibrate each channel	
HV and Beam Energy Scan	41 — 99	Beam (47 – 823 MeV)	Understand detector performance and study energy resolution and shower shapes	
Position Scan	101 — 129	Beam (197 MeV)		
HV and Beam Energy Scan at Low Energy	129 — 157	Beam (< 297 MeV)		
With Absorbers	160 — 225	Beam (197 – 823 MeV)		
Rotation	227 — 238	Beam (98, 197, 297 MeV)	Understand detector performance	

Clustering



Things to be Studied

- Detector performance
- Comparison between data and simulation
- E_{max} vs E_{beam} at different SiPM HVs
- Hit multiplicity
- Energy spectra (E_{max}, E_{3x3}, E_{5x5})
- Shower shapes (E_{max}/E_{5x5}, E_{3x3}/E_{5x5}, E_{max}/E_{3x3}, E_{2x5}/E_{5x5}, σ_X, σ_Y, ...)
- Beam profile
- Energy resolution as a function of beam energy

Channel-by-Channel Gain Calibration



- Channel-by-channel gain calibration was performed using radiation source and beam, respectively
- The calibration obtained with the radiation source is not significantly different from the one obtained with high energy beam

Emax vs Beam Energy (1/2)



SiPM saturation effect was observed as epxected and parameterized

Model SiPM Saturation Effect

Ref: https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.01102

 LO: the charge produced in a pixel is the same if it is hit by one, two or more photons

$$N_{fire}^{LO} = N_{pix}(1 - e^{\frac{-\epsilon N_{in}}{N_{pix}}})$$

- However, when the recovery time of the SiPM pixels is shorter than the input light pulse, a single pixel can contribute more than once to the output signal
- Additionally, there are effects of fluctuations of gain by charge release at pixels, dark noise, crosstalk, and after pulse

$$N_{\text{fire}}^{\text{NLO}_{\text{C}}'A} = N_{\text{fire}}^{\text{NLO}'} \left(1 + P_{\text{cross}} \cdot e^{-\epsilon N_{\text{in}}/N_{\text{pix}}}\right) \cdot (1 + P_{\text{after}})$$

Emax vs Beam Energy (2/2)



- The energy scale agrees better after the corrections
- However, more work is needed to correct the energy scale and resolution further

Energy Regression



Try to apply the ML technique for energy reconstruction

Future Plan:

- Finalize the analysis of beam test data as soon as we can
- Target at another beam test at ELPH in October
 - LYSO + APD
 - PbWO₄ + SiPM
 - GAGG + APD
 - Combine with other detectors
- Target at beam tests at ELPH (50-800 MeV positrons) and also KEK (5 GeV electrons) in the future
- Perform simulation studies for the final ZDC EMCal design



- We had the first beam test for the prototype of ePIC ZDC EMCal with LYSO+SiPM at ELPH
- Both data analysis and simulation are on-going
- We hope to be able to test different combinations of crystals and photodetectors in October and the future

Why SiPM?

- available readout board with Citiroc1A from wee roc for multichannel SiPM (Chih-Hsun Li, Academia Sinica) → can be used for first prototype study
- need a suitable photodetector for critical fluence value ($10^{14}/cm^2$)
 - CMS ECAL
 - barrel: APD, up to $4 \times 10^{13}/cm^2$, gain: 1-100
 - endcap: VPT (vacuum phototriodes), up to $7 \times 10^{15}/cm^2$
 - CMS MTD BTL (LYSO tiles with SiPM readout)
 - radiation (4/ab): $2 \times 10^{14} / cm^2$, gain: 2×10^5





SiPM Performance vs Number of Photons



- Need the fraction of fired microcells of a SiPM below 70% for a linear response
- Number of microcells in currently used SiPM: 18,980
 - the one from HPK used by CMS BTL has 40,000 microcells
- LYSO light yield for 500MeV energy deposit: 500MeV × 40,000 photons/ MeV × 0.2 (photon detection efficiency) × 0.25 (light collection efficiency) = 1,000,000 photons

Simulation with "Realistic Beam"



Very Preliminary Simulation Results

