

Self-learning Monte Carlo method with equivariant transformer

The Information Technology Center, The University of Tokyo

Yuki Nagai and Akio Tomiya, "Self-Learning Monte Carlo with Equivariant Transformer", J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 93, 114007 (2024) Editors' choice



UTokyo Yuki Nagai





Introduction



About me

Born in Hokkaido, the northern island in Japan 2005/03 B. Eng. at Department of Applied Physics, Hokkaido University 2010/03 Ph.D at Department of Physics, the University of Tokyo 2010/04-2024/01 Scientist -> Senior Scientist, Japan Atomic Energy Agency 2024/02 Associate Professor in The University of Tokyo



2016/11-2017/10

Visiting Scholar, Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

2018-2023 Visiting researcher in RIKEN AIP

Yuki Nagai



I have used supercomputers in JAEA and UTokyo Condensed matter theory Superconductivity, Material science Machine-learning and Physics





Lattice QCD code for generic purpose Open source LQCD code in Julia Language

5 10 15 20 25

MC time

JuliaQCD

UliaQCD

tLatticeQCD. jl

QCDMeasurements.jl

LatticeDiracOperators.jl

Gaugefields.jl

Wilsonloop.jl

CLIME_jII

arXiv:2409.03030 -> Next Dr. Akio Tomiya's talk

Machines: Laptop/desktop/Jupyter/Supercomputers Functions: SU(Nc)-heatbath, (R)HMC, Self-learning HMC, SU(Nc) Stout Dynamical Staggered, Dynamical Wilson, Dynamical Domain-wall Measurements

Start LQCD <u>in **5 min**</u>





Akio Tomiya and YN

1. Download Julia binary 2. Add the package through Julia package manager 3. Execute! https://github.com/akio-tomiya/LatticeQCD.jl 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 Polyakov loop Julia looks like python but fast like c or fortran





Machine and Condensed Matter physicists in high-energy physics

Lagrangian

Analytical calc.-Numerical calc.-

Lagrangian

machine

Machine and condensed matter physicists calculate physical observables without understanding any Lagrangian...?

Il calc. Il calc. Physical observables

me

Physical observables





Speedup with machine learning In field of machine learning Image recognition, AI chat etc. We do not have a theory of these. But the machine can imitate these

How to use machine learning in simulations? Known heavy task is replaced $A \leftarrow B \qquad A \leftarrow B \qquad A \leftarrow B$ heavy task from a concrete theory

A \square B :We do not have a theory



effective model We replace the heavy tasks by neural networks





Self learning Monte Carlo



Configurations

Configurations

Spins

Electrons

To propose a new configuration, we use the effective model

Self-learning Monte Carlo We calculate a partition function $Z = \int exp(-S)$ or $\Sigma exp(-\beta H)$ With the use of Monte Carlo method, we can calculate physical variables Sometimes, the computational cost is heavy.

> Boltzmann weight Heavy tasks Boltzmann weight effective model Atoms, Lattice QCD molecules





Exact MCMC simulations

You want to do MCMC simulations with very heavy computational cost



effective model How long do you have to train the model?

heavy if the effective model is not good, B' is not good By using the self-learning Monte Carlo method, the output with an effective model becomes exact

The effective model to imitate the original model might be useful





What is the self-learning Monte Carlo? common simulation with machine learning: Simulation Machine learning > Training <a>Evaluation Gathering data

Self-learning Monte Carlo method

Gathering data Training Evaluation



not good? gather more data

We do three steps in same simulations

Num. of training data is drastically reduced (1/10) because of efficient sampling





Self-learning Monte Carlo

Spin systems

J. Liu, Y. Qi, Z. Y. Meng, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B 95, 041101(R) (2017)

H. Kohshiro and YN,, "Effective Ruderman–Kittel–Kasuya–Yosida-like Interaction in Diluted Double-exchange Model: Self-learning Monte Carlo Approach", J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 90, 034711 (2021)

YN and A. Tomiya, "Self-learning Monte Carlo with equivariant Transformer", J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 93, 114007 (2024)

Fermion+classical spins

Electrons

YN, H. Shen, Y. Qi, J. Liu, and L. Fu "Self-learning Monte Carlo method: Continuous-time algorithm", Physical Review B 96, 161102(R) (2017) *Editors' Suggestion*

YN, M. Okumura, A. Tanaka "Self-learning Monte Carlo method with Behler-Parrinello neural networks", Phys. Rev. B **101**, 115111 (2020)

Continuous time Quantum Monte Carlo

Atoms/molecules Machine-learning MD

YN, M. Okumura, K. Kobayashi, and M. Shiga, "Self-learning Hybrid Monte Carlo: A First-principles Approach", Phys. Rev. B **102**, 041124(R) (2020)

K. Kobayashi, YN, M. Itakura, and M. Shiga, "Self-learning hybrid Monte Carlo method for isothermal-isobaric ensemble: Application to liquid silica", J. Chem. Phys. 155, 034106 (2021)

YN, Yutaka Iwasaki, Koichi Kitahara, Yoshiki Takagiwa, Kaoru Kimura, Motoyuki Shiga, "High-Temperature Atomic Diffusion and Specific Heat in Quasicrystals", Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 196301 (2024)

Bo Thomsen, YN, Keita kobayashi, Ikutaro Hamada, and Motoyuki Shiga, "Self-learning path integral hybrid Monte Carlo with mixed ab initio and machine learning potentials for modeling nuclear quantum effects in water", J. Chem. Phys. 161, 204109 (2024)

Lattice QCD SU(N) Gauge theory on the lattice

YN, Akinori Tanaka, Akio Tomiya, "Self-learning Monte-Carlo for non-abelian gauge theory with dynamical fermions", Phys. Rev. D 107, 054501 (2023)

YN and Akio Tomiya, "Gauge covariant neural network for 4 dimensional nonabelian gauge theory", arXiv:2103.11965







Another Markov chain with the probability W'(C) Machine learning molecular dynamics Machine learning techniques are used for proposing new configuration!





Concept of SLMC

Markov chain with the probability W(C)



To propose C_B from C_A

$C_A C_2 C_3 C_4 \dots C_B$

Another Markov chain with the probability W'(C)

How to construct the Markov chain with W'(C)? ->Machine learning technique! $W(C) = \exp(-\beta H(C)) \rightarrow W'(C) = \exp(-\beta H_{eff}(C))$ We construct the effective Hamiltonian

J. Liu, Y. Qi, Z. Y. Meng, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B 95, 041101(R) (2017)

Acceptance ratio for the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

 $A(C_B, C_A) = \min\left(1, \frac{W(C_B)}{W(C_A)} \frac{g(C_A \mid C_B)}{g(C_B \mid C_A)}\right)$ g(CBCA):Proposal probability

$$(C_B, C_A) = \min\left(1, \frac{W(C_B)}{W(C_A)} \frac{W'(C_A)}{W'(C_B)}\right)$$

If W'(C)=W(C), the acceptance ratio is one!

If the computational cost of the proposal Markov chain is small, we can speed up the simulation







Concept of SLHMC

Markov chain with the probability W(C)



If the MD conserves the energy of the original model Machine learning molecular dynamics (MLMD) the acceptance ratio is one!

> If the computational cost of the MLMD is small, we can speed up the simulation

In the field of atom and molecular systems, machine learning molecular dynamics was proposed in 2007

YN, M. Okumura, K. Kobayashi, and M. Shiga, Phys. Rev. B 102, 041124(R) (2020)

Acceptance ratio for the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm in Hybrid Monte Carlo

 $A(C_B, C_A) = \min\left(1, \frac{W(C_B)}{W(C_A)}\right) \quad \text{if the MD is time-} \\ \text{reversal symmetric}$

MLMD conserves the energy of the effective model MLMD DOES NOT conserve the energy of the original model





Self-learning Monte Carlo for lattice QCD



YN, Akinori Tanaka, Akio Tomiya, "Self-learning Monte-Carlo for non-abelian gauge theory with dynamical fermions", Phys. Rev. D 107, 054501 (2023)

$S[U] = S_g[U] + S_f[U],$

$$S_f[U] = -\log \det M^{\dagger}M,$$

integrated fermion action

effective model without fermion actions

$$\begin{split} {}_{\mathrm{ff}}[U] &= \sum_{n} \left[\beta_{\mathrm{plaq}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \sum_{\nu > \mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} U_{\mu\nu}(n) \right) + \beta_{\mathrm{rect}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} R_{\mu\nu}(n) \right) \right] \\ &+ \beta_{\mathrm{Pol}}^{\mu=1} \sum_{n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}} \operatorname{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{1}=0}^{N_{1}-1} U_{1}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] + \beta_{\mathrm{Pol}}^{\mu=2} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{4}} \operatorname{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{2}=0}^{N_{2}-1} U_{2}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] \\ &+ \beta_{\mathrm{Pol}}^{\mu=3} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{4}} \operatorname{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{3}=0}^{N_{3}-1} U_{3}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] + \beta_{\mathrm{Pol}}^{\mu=4} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}} \operatorname{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{4}=0}^{N_{4}-1} U_{4}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] + \beta_{\mathrm{const}}, \end{split}$$





Self-learning Monte Carlo for lattice QCD

MH

test

YN, Akinori Tanaka, Akio Tomiya, "Self-learning Monte-Carlo for non-abelian gauge theory with dynamical fermions", Phys. Rev. D 107, 054501 (2023)

$$S[U] = S_g[U] + S_f[U],$$

 $S_f[U] = -\log \det M^{\dagger}M,$

integrated fermion action

effective model without fermion actions

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{eff}}^{\theta}[U] &= \sum_{n} \left[\beta_{\text{plaq}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \sum_{\nu > \mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} U_{\mu\nu}(n) \right) + \beta_{\text{rect}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} R_{\mu\nu}(n) \right) \right] \\ &+ \beta_{\text{Pol}}^{\mu=1} \sum_{n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}} \text{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{1}=0}^{N_{1}-1} U_{1}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] + \beta_{\text{Pol}}^{\mu=2} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{4}} \text{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{2}=0}^{N_{2}-1} U_{2}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] \\ &+ \beta_{\text{Pol}}^{\mu=3} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{4}} \text{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{3}=0}^{N_{3}-1} U_{3}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] + \beta_{\text{Pol}}^{\mu=4} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}} \text{tr} \left[\prod_{n_{4}=0}^{N_{4}-1} U_{4}(\vec{n}, n_{4}) \right] + \beta_{\text{const}}, \end{split}$$

$$p(U) \propto e^{-S[U]} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\text{sampling}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} N$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (S[U_i] - S_{\text{eff}}^{\theta}[U_i])^2$$

$$\log L_2 \longrightarrow \theta$$



We use a linear interpolation how to improve effective action?







Self-learning Hybrid Monte Carlo for lattice QCD



Machine learning molecular dynamics (MLMD)

U^{NN}: trainable stout smearing



"Gauge covariant neural network for 4 dimensional non-abelian gauge theory",

target action

 $S[U] = S_{g}[U] + S_{f}[\phi, U; m_{l}],$

effective action

$S_{\theta}[U] = S_{g}[U] + S_{f}[\phi, U_{\theta}^{\mathrm{NN}}[U]; m_{\mathrm{h}}],$

if m1 < mh, the computational cost reduces

-> Next Dr. Akio Tomiya's talk





Problems of SLMC Heavy tasks — Boltzmann weight

Configurations

Configurations

How to construct effective models? Quality of the effective model is very important In previous studies, for example, a linear regression is used to construct the effective model inspired by the physical insight



Use Transformer!!





Transformer and Attention mechanism





Generative Als

(S) OpenAl ChatGPT



These AI have same architecture called Transformer

Transformer AI Chat, Visualization, language translation

Gemini

protein foldings etc.





Scaling lows of Transformer https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08361



Figure 1 Language modeling performance improves smoothly as we increase the model size, datasetset size, and amount of compute² used for training. For optimal performance all three factors must be scaled up in tandem. Empirical performance has a power-law relationship with each individual factor when not bottlenecked by the other two.

It requires huge data (e.g. GPT uses all electric books in the world) = weak inductive bias, large data makes prediction better





Transformer and Attention When we translate a sentence, we pay "attention" to words: I am Yuki Nagai, who studies machine learning and physics

English:

German: Ich bin Yuki Nagai, der Maschinenlernen und Physik studiert translated by DeepL Non-local dependencies can be treated by "Attention layer" What are most important relations in words? "Attention" layer can capture these relations

In physics terminology, this is non local correlation. The attention layer enables us to treat it with a neural net!





Transformer and Attention When we translate a sentence, we pay "attention" to words: I am Yuki Nagai, who studies machine learning and physics

English:

我是永井佑紀, 研究機器學習和物理。 Chinese: Non-local dependencies can be treated by "Attention layer"

translated by DeepL What are most important relations in words? "Attention" layer can capture these relations

In physics terminology, this is non local correlation. The attention layer enables us to treat it with a neural net!





There are many websites to explain the transformer and attention mechanism, in terms of language processing... I try to explain the attention in terms of simple mathematics 1. We consider a vector/matrix/tensor A Ai or Aij or Aijk 2. We make three variables K,Q,V from A 3. We generate new vector/matrix/tensor B $B_l = A_l + \sum_i P_i^l V_i$ $P = \sigma(QK^T)$ i correlation between Q and K

What is the attention mechanism?

- This came from discussions with Dr. Tomiya

- $K = W^{K}A, Q = W^{Q}A, V = W^{V}A$ W^{K}, W^{Q}, W^{V} : trainable parameters

l=i or ij or ijk





$K = W^{K}A, Q = W^{Q}A, V = W^{V}A$ 3. We generate new vector/matrix/tensor B $B_l = A_l + \sum P_i^l V_i$ weighted sum

 $P = \sigma(QK^T)$ σ :nonlinear function correlation between Q and K self-attention mechanism This is most simplest architecture In generative AIs, they use the multi-head attention Simple mechanism but very effective! How can we use this in physics?







Equivariant transformer



If we have many parameters (one Billion??), we can have a good model



Figure 1 Language modeling performance improves smoothly as we increase the model size, datasetset size, and amount of compute² used for training. For optimal performance all three factors must be scaled up in tandem. Empirical performance has a power-law relationship with each individual factor when not bottlenecked by the other two.

We want to use transformers We want to reduce num. of parameters

Problem in transformers

If a model in physics have billion parameters, the computational cost might be huge -> We can not accelerate MCMC simulations!

Let's use symmetry!!





We want to focus on a simple lattice model fermions and classical spins $H = -t \sum_{\alpha,\langle i,j\rangle} (\hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j\alpha} + h.c.) + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{i} - \mu \sum_{\alpha,i} \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i\alpha},$ Partition function:

{S} n
{S} n
Configurations: classical spins {Si}
Si: i-th three dimensional vector in spin space



called double exchange model in condensed matter physics

28 28

 $Z = \sum \left[(1 + e^{-\beta(\mu - E_n(\{S\}))}) \right]$

Input: spin configurations {S}

diagonalization

Output: Boltzmann weight We want to replace the diagonalization





We want to focus on a simple lattice model

fermions and classical spins

 $H = -t \sum_{\langle i \alpha \rangle} (\hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j\alpha} + h.c.) + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{i} - \mu \sum_{\alpha i} \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i\alpha},$ α , $\langle i, j \rangle$

If J is small, we can use the perturbation theory

the Ruderman–Kittel–Kasuya–Yosida (RKKY) interaction models

 $H_{\rm RKKY} = -\sum_{n} J_n S_i \cdot S_j$

 $\langle i,j \rangle_n$



We can integrate out fermion degrees of freedom fermion + spin -> spin

called double exchange model in condensed matter physics







We want to focus on a simple lattice model fermions and classical spins $H = -t \sum_{\alpha, \langle i, j \rangle} (\hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j\alpha} + h.c.) + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{i} - \mu \sum_{\alpha, i} \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i\alpha},$ in condensed matter physics We want to consider large J region Simple effective model J. Liu, H. Shen, Y. Qi, Z. Y. Meng, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B 95, 241104(R)(2017) Jn^{eff}: n-th nearest neighbor interaction $H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{Linear}} = -\sum_{n} J_n^{\text{eff}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + E_0$ This is a linear model by integrating out fermion degrees of freedom $\langle i,j\rangle_n$



called double exchange model

30 30

similar to RKKY model There are only few parameters Jneff derived by physicist Num. of parameters is too small! How to improve this model?





fermions and classical spins $H = -t \sum_{\langle i \alpha \rangle} (\hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j\alpha} + h.c.) + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{i} - \mu \sum_{\alpha i} \hat{c}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i\alpha},$

Simple effective model

 $H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{Linear}} = -\sum_{n} J_n^{\text{eff}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + E_0$ $\langle i,j \rangle_n$

Effective model with a transformer $H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{n} J_n^{\text{eff}} \mathbf{S}_i^{\text{NN}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_i^{\text{NN}} + E_0$ $\mathbf{S}_{i}^{\text{NN}} = f^{\text{transformer}}(\{\mathbf{S}_{i}\})$ $\langle i,j\rangle_n$ We replace the spins with "translated" spin with a transformer

Fermion and spin model

J. Liu, H. Shen, Y. Qi, Z. Y. Meng, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B 95, 241104(R)(2017) J_n^{eff}: n-th nearest neighbor interaction This is a linear model by integrating out fermion degrees of freedom

There are only few parameters Jneff





How to construct model? In physics, we know the renormalization group analysis



block spin transformations
 Charlie Duclut., "Nonequilibrium critical phenomena :exact Langevin equations, erosion of tilted landscapes" Université Pierre et Marie Curie – Paris VI, 2017.
 Spins are renormalized
 Renormalized spin should have same symmetries

If we can construct effective spins, we can construct effective model! We need an equivariant model



Spins become "effective" spins $H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_n} J_n^{\text{eff}} \mathbf{S}_i^{\text{NN}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_j^{\text{NN}} + E_0$

Heisenberg model for effective spins





Invariance and equivariance Hamiltonian has a symmetry ->invariant with the symmetry operation T $\downarrow H(S) = H(T[S])$ symmetry invariant We can consider two kinds of networks S 1. make invariant input and put it into neural networks $S \rightarrow C$ T[S] -> C \rightarrow H = f(C) Conventional architecture can be used T[S]2. make equivariant networks and make the output invariant $T[g(S)] = g(T[S]) \qquad C = g(S) \rightarrow H = f(C)$ Equivariance This network can keep a symmetry









Invariance and equivariance

2. make equivariant networks and make the output invariant

g

g





T[g(S)] = g(T[S]) Equivariance g(T[S])

g(S)



f(T[S]) = f(S) Invariance

f(g(T[S])) Outputs are same

CNN uses equivariance





How to construct the attention layer 1. We consider a vector/matrix/tensor A Ai or Aij or Aijk \hat{S}_i is a classical spin We consider spin "matrix" $[\hat{S}]_{i\mu} = [\vec{S}_i]_{\mu}$ on i-th site (vector) 2. We make three variables K,Q,V from A $K = W^{K}A, Q = W^{Q}A, V = W^{V}A$ We introduce "operators" $\hat{S}^{Q} = \bar{W}^{Q}\hat{S}$ $\hat{S}^{K} = \bar{W}^{K}\hat{S}$ $\hat{S}^{V} = \bar{W}^{V}\hat{S}$





How to construct the attention layer 3. We generate new vector/matrix/tensor B $B_l = A_l + \sum P_i^l V_i$ $\hat{S}^{(l)} \equiv \hat{N}(\hat{S}^{(l-1)} + \hat{M}\hat{S}^{V}) \quad [\mathcal{N}(\hat{S})]_{i\mu} = [\vec{S}_{i}]_{\mu} / ||\vec{S}_{i}||$ $P = \sigma(QK^T)$ correlation between Q and K $(\tilde{M})_{ij} = \text{ReLU} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{3} \hat{S}_{i\mu}^{Q} \hat{S}_{j\mu}^{K} \right)$ The "effective" spin S(L) can be $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{(l)}$ has spin-rotational equivariance -> renormalized spin R[g(S)] = g(R[S])

regarded as a physical spin

36

We can build a model!





Equivariant Transformer for spin systems



 $\rightarrow Q = WQS$ S

 $= W^{\vee}S$

 $K = W^{K}S$

W only mixes neighbor spins (short range interaction)

37

like block spin transformations

M = WQSS+WK

Rotational and translational invariant

 $S' = S + ReLU(M) W^{V}S$ Long range correlation is included







Equivariant Transformer for spin systems $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{S}_i) = \mathbf{S}_i / \|\mathbf{S}_i\|$ Layer 1 $S_1 = \mathcal{N}(S + \operatorname{ReLU}(M^1(S))W^{V1}S)$ Layer 2 $S_2 = \mathcal{N}(S_1 + \text{ReLU}(M^2(S_1))W^{V2}S_1)$ Layer 3 $S_3 = \mathcal{N}(S_2 + \operatorname{ReLU}(M^3(S_2))W^{V3}S_2)$ Heisenberg model with effective spins Last $E = \sum J_{l} \vec{S}_{3i} \cdot \vec{S}_{3i+l} + E_{0}$ If the second term is zero $E = \sum \sum J_l \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+l} + E_0$ we get linearized model





2D double exchange model(fermion + classical spin)



magnetization and staggered magnetization



Autocorrelation time is reduced









6-th nearest neighbors $K_i = \sum W_l S_{i+l}$ Num. of parameters per layer 7+7+7 = 21Last layer: nearest neighbors $E = \sum J_l \vec{S}_{3i} \cdot \vec{S}_{3i+l}$ Num. of parameters is small High acceptance ratio!





arXiv: 2306.11527



6-th nearest neighbors $K_i = \sum W_l S_{i+l}$ Num. of parameters per layer 7+7+7 = 21Scaling low?

41

This is like the scaling lows in Large Language Models This is MC simulation We generate data as we want





Application to LatticeQCD 2. We make three variables K,Q,V from A K = W^KA, Q = W^QA, V = W^VA We introduced "operators" $\hat{S}^{Q} = \bar{W}^{Q}\hat{S}$ \hookrightarrow Effective gauge field U^Q is needed 3. We generate new vector/matrix/tensor B $B_l = A_l + \sum_{i} P_i^l V_i$ $P = \sigma(QK^T)$ correlation between Q and K We introduced inner product of spins What is "inner product" in gauge field?





-> Next Dr. Akio Tomiya's talk











Summary

Yuki Nagai and Akio Tomiya, "Self-Learning Monte Carlo with Equivariant Transformer", J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 93, 114007 (2024) Equivariant Transformer in spin systems





Equivariant with respect to spin-rotational and translational symmetries

We found the scaling low!

We can improve models with increasing num. of layers

"Transformer and Attention" is very useful!

