Directly Probing Ultra-Low-Mass Scalar-Field Dark Matter with Gravitational-Wave Detectors

### Yevgeny Stadnik

Kavli IPMU, University of Tokyo, Japan





7<sup>th</sup> KAGRA International Workshop, Taoyuan, December 2020

# **Dark Matter**

Strong astrophysical evidence for existence of **dark matter** (~5 times more dark matter than ordinary matter)



# **Dark Matter**



### **Dark Matter**



• Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$ 



- Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$
- Coherently oscillating field, since cold ( $E_{\varphi} \approx m_{\varphi}c^2$ )

- Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$
- Coherently oscillating field, since cold ( $E_{\varphi} \approx m_{\varphi}c^2$ )

• 
$$\Delta E_{\varphi}/E_{\varphi} \sim \langle v_{\varphi}^2 \rangle/c^2 \sim 10^{-6} \Rightarrow \tau_{\rm coh} \sim 2\pi/\Delta E_{\varphi} \sim 10^6 T_{\rm osc}$$
  
 $\uparrow$   
 $v_{\rm DM} \sim 300 \, \rm km/s$ 

- Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$
- Coherently oscillating field, since cold ( $E_{\varphi} \approx m_{\varphi}c^2$ )
- $\Delta E_{\varphi}/E_{\varphi} \sim \langle v_{\varphi}^2 \rangle/c^2 \sim 10^{-6} \Rightarrow \tau_{\rm coh} \sim 2\pi/\Delta E_{\varphi} \sim 10^6 T_{\rm osc}$



Probability distribution function of  $\varphi_0$ (e.g., Rayleigh distribution)



- Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$
- Coherently oscillating field, since cold ( $E_{\varphi} \approx m_{\varphi}c^2$ )
- $\Delta E_{\varphi}/E_{\varphi} \sim \langle v_{\varphi}^2 \rangle/c^2 \sim 10^{-6} \Rightarrow \tau_{\rm coh} \sim 2\pi/\Delta E_{\varphi} \sim 10^6 T_{\rm osc}$
- Classical field for  $m_{\varphi} \leq 1 \text{ eV}$ , since  $n_{\varphi} (\lambda_{\mathrm{dB},\varphi}/2\pi)^3 \gg 1$

- Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$
- Coherently oscillating field, since cold ( $E_{\varphi} \approx m_{\varphi}c^2$ )
- $\Delta E_{\varphi}/E_{\varphi} \sim \langle v_{\varphi}^2 \rangle/c^2 \sim 10^{-6} \Rightarrow \tau_{\rm coh} \sim 2\pi/\Delta E_{\varphi} \sim 10^6 T_{\rm osc}$
- Classical field for  $m_{\varphi} \leq 1 \text{ eV}$ , since  $n_{\varphi} (\lambda_{\mathrm{dB},\varphi}/2\pi)^3 \gg 1$

Lyman- $\alpha$  forest measurements [suppression of structures for  $L \leq O(\lambda_{dB,\varphi})$ ]

[Related figure-of-merit:  $\lambda_{dB,\varphi}/2\pi \le L_{dwarf\,galaxy} \sim 100 \text{ pc} \Rightarrow m_{\varphi} \gtrsim 10^{-21} \text{ eV}$ ]

- Low-mass spin-0 particles form a coherently oscillating classical field  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}c^2t/\hbar)$ , with energy density  $\langle \rho_{\varphi} \rangle \approx m_{\varphi}^2 \varphi_0^2/2 \ (\rho_{\text{DM,local}} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3)$
- Coherently oscillating field, since cold ( $E_{\varphi} \approx m_{\varphi}c^2$ )
- $\Delta E_{\varphi}/E_{\varphi} \sim \langle v_{\varphi}^2 \rangle/c^2 \sim 10^{-6} \Rightarrow \tau_{\rm coh} \sim 2\pi/\Delta E_{\varphi} \sim 10^6 T_{\rm osc}$
- Classical field for  $m_{\varphi} \lesssim 1 \text{ eV}$ , since  $n_{\varphi} (\lambda_{\text{dB},\varphi}/2\pi)^3 \gg 1$
- $10^{-21} \,\mathrm{eV} \lesssim m_{\varphi} \lesssim 1 \,\mathrm{eV} \iff 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{Hz} \lesssim f_{\mathrm{DM}} \lesssim 10^{14} \,\mathrm{eV}$

Lyman- $\alpha$  forest measurements [suppression of structures for  $L \leq O(\lambda_{dB,\varphi})$ ]

Wave-like signatures [cf. particle-like signatures of WIMP DM]

[Stadnik, Flambaum, *PRL* **114**, 161301 (2015); *PRL* **115**, 201301 (2015)], [Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf, *PRD* **98**, 064051 (2018)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} = \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \approx \frac{\varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} \approx \frac{\varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}}$$

[Stadnik, Flambaum, *PRL* **114**, 161301 (2015); *PRL* **115**, 201301 (2015)], [Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf, *PRD* **98**, 064051 (2018)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} = \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{f} = -\frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{f}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \approx -\frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{f}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \Rightarrow \frac{\delta m_{f}}{m_{f}} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{f}}$$

[Stadnik, Flambaum, *PRL* **114**, 161301 (2015); *PRL* **115**, 201301 (2015)], [Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf, *PRD* **98**, 064051 (2018)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} = \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{f} = -\frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{f}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \approx -\frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{f}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \Rightarrow \frac{\delta m_{f}}{m_{f}} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{f}}$$

[Stadnik, Flambaum, PRL 114, 161301 (2015); PRA 93, 063630 (2016)]

**Solid material** 

$$\frac{\delta L(t)}{L} \approx -\frac{\delta \alpha(t)}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta m_e(t)}{m_e}$$

 $L_{\rm solid} \propto a_{\rm B} = 1/(m_e \alpha)$ 

Cavity-Based Searches for Oscillating Variations of Fundamental Constants induced by Dark Matter [Stadnik, Flambaum, PRL 114, 161301 (2015); PRA 93, 063630 (2016)]



- Sr vs Glass cavity [Torun]: [Wcislo et al., Nature Astronomy 1, 0009 (2016)]
- Various combinations [worldwide]: [Wcislo et al., Science Advances 4, eaau4869 (2018)]
  - Cs vs Steel cavity [Mainz]: [Antypas et al., PRL 123, 141102 (2019)]
  - Sr<sup>+</sup> vs Glass cavity [Weizmann]: [Aharony et al., arXiv:1902.02788]
  - Sr/H vs Silicon cavity [JILA + PTB]: [Kennedy *et al.*, *PRL* **125**, 201302 (2020)]
    - H vs Sapphire/Quartz cavities [UWA]: [Campbell et al., arXiv:2010.08107]

[Grote, Stadnik, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 033187 (2019)]



**Michelson interferometer (GEO 600)** 

[Grote, Stadnik, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 033187 (2019)]



• Geometric asymmetry from beam-splitter

[Grote, Stadnik, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 033187 (2019)]



• Geometric asymmetry from beam-splitter:  $\delta(L_x - L_y) \sim \delta(nl)$ 

[Grote, Stadnik, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 033187 (2019)]



- Geometric asymmetry from beam-splitter:  $\delta(L_x L_y) \sim \delta(nl)$
- Both broadband and resonant narrowband searches possible:  $f_{\rm DM} \approx f_{\rm vibr,BS}(T) \sim v_{\rm sound}/l \Rightarrow Q \sim 10^6$  enhancement

#### Michelson vs Fabry-Perot-Michelson Interferometers

[Grote, Stadnik, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 033187 (2019)]



#### Michelson vs Fabry-Perot-Michelson Interferometers

[Grote, Stadnik, Phys. Rev. Research 1, 033187 (2019)]



# Sensitivity of KAGRA to Interaction of Scalar Dark Matter with the Electron



\* Sensitivity estimate assumes total integration time of 1 year at KAGRA design sensitivity
 \* Modified configuration assumes 10% FP mirror thickness difference between two arms

# Summary

- Laser-interferometric gravitational-wave detectors can be used as sensitive direct probes of ultra-lowmass scalar-field dark matter
- KAGRA (at design sensitivity) can improve sensitivity to the interaction of scalar-field dark matter with the electron by up to a factor of ~100
- With minor modifications, an additional improvement in sensitivity by another factor of ~30 is possible
- Related ongoing search for scalar dark matter by the GEO600 collaboration (Michelson interferometer)

# **Back-Up Slides**

### Dark-Matter-Induced Variations of the Fundamental Constants

[Stadnik, Flambaum, *PRL* **114**, 161301 (2015); *PRL* **115**, 201301 (2015)], [Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf, *PRD* **98**, 064051 (2018)]

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\gamma} &= \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{\gamma}} \\ \mathcal{L}_{f} &= -\frac{\varphi}{\Lambda_{f}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \approx -\frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{f}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \Rightarrow \frac{\delta m_{f}}{m_{f}} \approx \frac{\varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t)}{\Lambda_{f}} \\ \varphi &= \varphi_{0} \cos(m_{\varphi} t - \boldsymbol{p}_{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{F} \propto \boldsymbol{p}_{\varphi} \sin(m_{\varphi} t) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\gamma}' &= \frac{\varphi^{2}}{\left(\Lambda_{\gamma}'\right)^{2}} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{f}' &= -\frac{\varphi^{2}}{\left(\Lambda_{f}'\right)^{2}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \end{cases} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\delta \alpha}{\alpha} \propto \frac{\delta m_{f}}{m_{f}} \propto \Delta \rho_{\varphi} \\ \boldsymbol{F} \propto \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho_{\varphi} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

[Stadnik, Flambaum, *PRL* **114**, 161301 (2015); *PRL* **115**, 201301 (2015)], [Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf, *PRD* **98**, 064051 (2018)]

Consider <u>quadratic couplings</u> of an oscillating classical scalar field,  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}t)$ , with SM fields.

$$\mathcal{L}_{f} = -\frac{\phi^{2}}{(\Lambda_{f}')^{2}} m_{f} \bar{f} f \quad \text{c.f.} \quad \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\text{SM}} = -m_{f} \bar{f} f \quad => \quad m_{f} \to m_{f} \left[ 1 + \frac{\phi^{2}}{(\Lambda_{f}')^{2}} \right]$$
$$=> \frac{\delta m_{f}}{m_{f}} = \frac{\phi_{0}^{2}}{(\Lambda_{f}')^{2}} \cos^{2}(m_{\phi}t) = \left[ \frac{\phi_{0}^{2}}{2(\Lambda_{f}')^{2}} + \frac{\phi_{0}^{2}}{2(\Lambda_{f}')^{2}} \cos(2m_{\phi}t) \right]$$
$$\rho_{\phi} = \frac{m_{\phi}^{2}\phi_{0}^{2}}{2} \quad => \quad \phi_{0}^{2} \propto \rho_{\phi}$$

[Stadnik, Flambaum, *PRL* **114**, 161301 (2015); *PRL* **115**, 201301 (2015)], [Hees, Minazzoli, Savalle, Stadnik, Wolf, *PRD* **98**, 064051 (2018)]

Consider <u>quadratic couplings</u> of an oscillating classical scalar field,  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_0 \cos(m_{\varphi}t)$ , with SM fields.



# Sensitivity of KAGRA to Interaction of Scalar Dark Matter with the Electron



\* Sensitivity estimate assumes total integration time of 1 year at KAGRA design sensitivity
 \* Modified configuration assumes 10% FP mirror thickness difference between two arms

### Linear Interaction of Scalar Dark Matter with the Electron



### Linear Interaction of Scalar Dark Matter with the Electron



# **Quartic Self-Interaction of Scalar**

