Atomic Compton Scattering Speaker: Chang-Hao Fang

Outline

- Research background and ab inito calculations
- Experiements and data analysis
- Result and impact on DM background





Measurements and ab initio Calculation of

Chang-Hao Fang @ PIRE fangch@stu.scu.edu.cn









Research Background and ab inito calculation

LDM and Detection Channels



Compton Scattering as Low-Energy Background

- Compton scattering: important ER channels, cannot be discriminated.
 - Step-like structures in the low-energy spectra: atomic binding effects.
- Performing advanced atomic approach with the Multi-configuration Dirac-(Hartree)-Fock (MCDF) method.



Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting





MCDF and RIA in Compton Scattering

- Ab inito calculation of Ge (by MCDF)
 - MCDF vs. HF: Relativistic, many-body (electron correlation, configuration interactions)
- Relativistic Impulse Approximation (RIA) approaches: reduction to a two-body interaction, a photon and an electron with momentum (Compton profile)
- Differential cross-sections

$$\left(\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\omega_{f}d\Omega_{f}}\right)_{\text{RIA}} = \sum_{\substack{nil\\nil\\ \text{Sum over the sub-shells}}} Z_{njl} \left(\frac{d^{2}\sigma_{njl}}{d\omega_{f}d\Omega_{f}}\right)_{\text{RIA}} = \frac{r_{0}^{2}m_{e}^{2}c^{4}\omega_{f}}{2} \int_{i} d^{3}p_{i} \frac{X}{d\omega_{f}d\Omega_{f}}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{RIA}} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{KN}} \cdot S(\theta, \omega_{i}) \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Scattering function} \\ \# \text{ of activated elect} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S(\theta, \omega_{i}) = \sum_{njl} Z_{njl}\Theta\left(\omega_{i} - B_{njl}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{p_{i}^{\text{max}}} J_{njl}(p_{z})dp_{z}$$

Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting



MCDF-RIA verus Data in EPDL

| Sub-shells | $K \ 1s_{1/2}$ | $L_{ m I} \ 2s_{1/2}$ | $L_{ m IIa} \ 2p_{1/2}$ | $L_{ m IIb} \ 2p_{3/2}$ | $M_{ m I} \ 3s_{1/2}$ | $M_{ m IIa} \ 3p_{1/2}$ | $M_{ m IIb} \ 3p_{3/2}$ | $M_{ m IIIa}\ 3d_{3/2}$ | $M_{ m IIIb}\ 3d_{5/2}$ | $N_{ m I} \ 4s_{1/2}$ | $N_{ m IIa} \ 4p_{1/2}$ | $N_{ m IIb} \ 4p_{3/2}$ |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| MCDF | 11119.0 | 1426.9 | 1257.3 | 1226.0 | 193.3 | 136.8 | 132.2 | 36.5 | 35.9 | 14.6 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| HF (EPDL) | 11067.0 | 1402.3 | 1255.4 | | 179.25 | 129.38 | | 38.19 | | 14.7 | 6.5 | |
| Exp. | 11103.1 | 1414.6 | 1248.1 | 1217.0 | 180.1 | 124.9 | 120.8 | 29.9 | 29.3 | | 7.9 | |

MCDF, HF (EPDL), Experimental results [2, 3].



Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting

[1] G4EMLOW8.0

[2] B. Henke, E. Gullikson, and J. Davis, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 54, 181 (1993). [3] R. D. Deslattes, E. G. Kessler, P. Indelicato, et al., Reviews of Modern Physics 75, 35 (2003).

• MCDF-RIA VS. EPDL (Hubbell, Biggs et al.)[1]

- Ionization energy: MCDF is closer to Exp.
- Compton profile: match but mildly differ at high p_7 .
- SF (DCS): at most a factor of two difference in the low momentum transfer region.





Experimental Setup



| Apparatus | |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Source | 6.6 mCi 137Cs |
| Front-end detector | 10g n-type HPGe |
| Back-end detector | Nal[TI] |
| Shielding & collimator | 5cm Pb + 18 mm hole |

• Measurements:

- Energy spectra: $d^2\sigma/(dEd\Omega)$
- Scattering function

$$S(X)_{\text{s.a.}} = \left[\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{\text{s.a.}} / \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{\text{c.a.}} \right] \cdot S(X)_{\text{c.a.}}$$

• Key approach: accurate scattering angle calibration.





Determination of The Scattering Angle

| | error(degree) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| scattering angle of 0° error | ≤ 0.01 |
| calibrated horizontal plane error | ≤ 0.02 |
| arbitrary angle error | ≤ 0.02 |
| Total error | ≤ 0.03 |





- Scattering angle is determined by calibrating horizontal plane, z-direction and arbitrary scattering angle.
- UNKNOWN source distribution:-> Measured source separation (0.27 ± 0.01 deq)
- Geometrical scattering angle is **NOT** identical to real scattering angle

Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting



• Geometric error is within 0.03 degree. Simulations in 2σ : Livermore ($12^{+0.1}_{-0.01}$ °), Monash ($12^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$ °)



Data Analyses: Candidates Selection



| Cuts | Efficiency (%) |
|---------------------|----------------|
| DAQ dead time | 98.0 |
| Inhibit | 99.8 |
| Pedestal | 99.6 |
| Q-A | 99.7 |
| Candidate selection | 99.3 |
| Total | 96.4 |



- Data taking at 12, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1.5 degree.
- Basic cut: INHIBIT, Q-A, Pedestal
- Coincident candidate: Trigger time interval-HPGe energy parameter space





Data Analyses: Background



• Background is classified into two categories:

- concentrate at signal region.
- - Identified as source contribution and cross-checked with delicate simulations
 - Shape has minor dependence on scattering angles and removed from other angles

Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting

Accidental coincidence: uniformly distributed in the parameter space; Source related bkg:

• Amount of source related bkg is about 20% of the accidental coincidences background





- Compton peak region of 1.5 degree measurement dives into electronic noises.
 - Electronic noise is excluded by PSD cut in A-E(Ge) parameter space and efficiency correction has been applied on the simulated spectra.

12

- PSD efficiency correction introduces the largest systematic error (low-energy).
- PSD efficiency determine the analysis threshold of 1.5 degree: 180 eV.
- Suppress the investigation to lower momentum transfer region (See SF part).



Doubly Differential Cross Sections



• Penelope, Livermore model match but Monash model does not!

 Data prefers Livermore model. The differences between measurements and Monash model are significant.

80

60 -

40 -

20 -

60

40

20

0.5

Monash@12 deg: p-Value: 4.62148e-07. Beyond 5-sigma!! Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting



| ↓ Livermore Monash ↓ Exp.@4 ° | Scat. Ang. | Livermore | Mona |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| | 12 | 49/59 | 128.72 |
| | 5 | 50/59 | 122/5 |
| | 4 | 64/79 | 146/7 |
| Livermore Monash | 3 | 62/59 | 145/5 |
| + Exp.@1.5° | 2 | 102/85 | 206/8 |
| | 1.5 | 110.93/97 | 164.81 |
| | | | |



1.0

1.5

2.0

Energy (keV



The Scattering Function





Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting

• The effective angles (real scattering angle) were derived from simulations and were found to shift to larger values than the exp calibration.

- More serious at small angles.
- Hard to approach lower X region with current setups.
- Measurements are relative closer to our ab initio calculations of SFs at small angles.
 - Current data points cannot tell the difference between Hubbell et al. and MCDF-RIA.

Influence on DM Backgrounds



Compton Background: Scattering Functions

- keV) and etc.
- Test conditions: scattering functions, source position and HPGe mass.



Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting

• Environmental gamma sources: ²¹⁴Pb(352 keV), ²¹⁴Bi(609 keV), ⁴⁰K(1461 keV), ²⁰⁸Tl (2614

• MCDF-RIA results are 5.3%-7.4% higher than the HF-RIA increasing with incident energy.

Compton Background: Source Position

• Environmental gamma sources: ²¹⁴Pb(352 keV), ²¹⁴Bi(609 keV), ⁴⁰K(1461 keV), ²⁰⁸Tl (2614 keV)

• Test conditions: scattering functions, source position and HPGe mass.

Chang-Hao Fang @ 2023 PIRE Collaboration Meeting

Compton Background: Detector Mass

• Environmental gamma sources: 214 Pb(352 keV), 214 Bi(609 keV), 40 K(1461 keV), ²⁰⁸Tl (2614 keV)

Test conditions: scattering functions, source position and HPGe mass.

- Geometrical effect: suppress the bkg below K-shell ionization threshold for 2.14%-11.97% increasing with incident energy.
- Non-flat structure increasing with incident

Summary

- Performing ab initio atomic many-body Compton scattering calculations.
 - MCDF: fully relativistic, many-body effects (electron correlation, configuration interactions) Significant difference on scattering function (Differential cross-section) in low-momentum
 - transfer region.
- Experiment to investigate low-momentum transfer Compton scattering behavior is accomplished.
 - Scattering angle is well calibrated and issues are fully concerned.
 - Background, efficiency and systematic errors are concerned.
- Livermore and Monash Compton model in Geant4 are not identical.
 - At 12 degrees, the difference with the Monash model exceeds 5 sigma.
- Current experimental setup is hard to clarify the differences on the scattering functions.
- Analyze the influence of SFs, detector mass and source position on Compton scattering for DM experiments with Ge detector.

Thanks for your attention