Lecture 2: TMD theory

TMD basic, parton model, symmetry

EIC era: Imaging of proton and nucleus

Unraveling the mysteries of relativistic hadronic bound states



Beyond 1D: collinear PDFs provide 1D structure – longitudinal motion



Direction 1: deep in purturbative direction





Jets, Jet substructure, W/Z/H+Jet, ... NLO, NNLO, Resummation, multiloops/legs, ...

$$\sigma(Q) = f_{q,g}(x) \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{q,g}(Q)$$

Direction 2: quantum tomography of nucleons



Unified view: internal landscape

Wigner distributions: a quantum version of phase-space distribution



Transverse Momentum Dependent distributions (TMDs)

- 3D imaging in momentum space
 - Both longitudinal and transverse motion
 - What are the quantum correlations between the motion of the quarks/gluons, their spin and the spin of the proton? (TMD PDFs)
 - Similarly precision information on hadronization (TMD FFs)



TMDs with polarization

Leading Twist TMDs



Quark Spin



Processes to extract TMDs

Standard processes: SIDIS, Drell-Yan, dihadron in e⁺e⁻



TMD parton model

- Two major questions we have to answer
 - How many TMD distributions are needed in order to fully characterize the proton structure?
 - How are the cross section (observables) connected to the TMDs?



Good textbooks

- Understand C, P, T discrete symmetry properties of the correlation function
 - Most textbooks on quantum field theory will give discussion on this topic, such as Peskin, Sterman: appendix of Sterman's book
 - If you want extensive discussion, see this book



Operator analysis

- Operator analysis to figure out how many distributions are needed to characterize the nucleon structure
- For details, see
 - Mulders, Tangerman hep-ph/9403227
 - Mulders, Tangerman hep-ph/9510301
 - Mulders, <u>http://www.nat.vu.nl/~mulders/correlations-Onew.pdf</u>
- From now on, we will look at <u>this hand-writing note</u>

TMD parton model

Here I provide <u>a detailed note</u> for deriving SIDIS cross section



$$\ell + p^{\uparrow} \to \ell' + \pi(p_T) + X$$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dy dz_h d^2 P_{hT}} = \sigma_0 \sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2 k_T d^2 p_T f_{q/p}(x_B, k_T^2) D_{h/q}(z_h, p_T^2) \delta^2 \left(z_h \vec{k}_T + \vec{p}_T - \vec{P}_{hT} \right)$$

Parton model for TMDs

• At low pT, the distribution is described by a Gaussian form



$$f_q(x, k_T^2) = f_q(x)g(k_T) \qquad \text{since } \int d^2k_T f_q(x, k_T^2) = f_q(x)$$
$$g(k_T) = \frac{1}{\pi \langle k_T^2 \rangle} e^{-k_T^2/\langle k_T^2 \rangle} \qquad \rightarrow \int d^2k_T g(k_T) = 1$$

TMD parton model phenomenology

 In the early days of TMD studies, one typically uses a Gaussian model to describe transverse momentum dependence

$$\begin{split} f_{q/p}(x,k_{\perp}) &= f_{q/p}(x) \, \frac{e^{-k_{\perp}^2/\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle} \\ D_{h/q}(z,p_{\perp}) &= D_{h/q}(z) \, \frac{e^{-p_{\perp}^2/\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle} \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} rac{d\sigma^{\ell+p o \ell'hX}}{dx_{_B}\,dQ^2\,dz_h\,dP_T^2} &= rac{2\,\pi^2lpha^2}{(x_{_B}s)^2}\,rac{\left[1+(1-y)^2
ight]}{y^2} \ & imes\,\sum_q e_q^2\,\int d^2m{k}_\perp\,d^2m{p}_\perp\,\delta^{(2)}\Big(m{P}_T-z_hm{k}_\perp-m{p}_\perp\Big)\,f_{q/p}(x,k_\perp)\,D_{h/q}(z,p_\perp) \ &\equiv rac{2\,\pi^2lpha^2}{(x_{_B}s)^2}\,rac{\left[1+(1-y)^2
ight]}{y^2}\,F_{UU}\,. \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{UU} = \sum_{q} e_q^2 f_{q/p}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle B}) D_{h/q}(z_h) rac{e^{-P_T^2/\langle P_T^2
angle}}{\pi \langle P_T^2
angle}$$

 $\left< P_T^2 \right> = \left< p_\perp^2 \right> + z_h^2 \left< k_\perp^2 \right> .$

TMD parton model works well

 $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.57 \pm 0.08 \text{ GeV}^2$

Anselmino, et.al. 1312.6261

 When the energy (Q) range spans relatively small, TMD parton model does a decent job [fitted parameters]



Polarized TMDs: Collins function

Collins function: unpolarized hadron from a transversely polarized quark



$$D_{h/q}(z,p_{\perp}) = D_1^q(z,p_{\perp}^2) + \frac{1}{zM_h}H_1^{\perp q}(z,p_{\perp}^2)\vec{S}_q \cdot \left(\hat{k} \times p_{\perp}\right)$$

Spin-independent

Spin-dependent

- ✓ 2002: A. Metz studied the universality property of Collins function in a modeldependent way – very subtle – finally found it is universal between SIDIS and e+e-
- ✓ 2004: Collins and Metz have general arguments
- ✓ 2008: Yuan generalizes to pp
- ✓ 2010: Boer, Kang, Vogelsang, and Yuan perform perturbative tail calculation, demonstrate the gauge link does not contribute



$$H_1^{\perp \text{SIDIS}}(z, p_\perp^2) = H_1^{\perp e^+ e^-}(z, p_\perp^2) = H_1^{\perp \text{pp}}(z, p_\perp^2)$$

Metz 02, Collins, Metz 04, Yuan 08, Boer, Kang, Vogelsang, Yuan, PRL 10, ...

Polarized TMDs: measurements



Summary

- Operator analysis allows us to determine the independent TMD correlators
- To probe a small transverse momentum, one has to measure a small transverse momentum for the final state particles
- TMD parton model works well when the Q range spans relatively small