GW-observations of the central engine of core-collapse supernovae in the Local Universe

Maurice H.P.M. van Putten^{1,2} and Maryam A. Abchouyeh¹

¹Sejong University, Seoul, South Korea ²INAF-OAS, Bologna, Italy

The 11th KAGRA International Workshop April 16-17, 2024 NMNS, Taiwan

JGW-G2415730

(c)2024





CC-SN event sequences Lessons learned from GW170817 Detector-limited horizon distance to long-duration transients Conclusions and outlook

(c)2024 van Putten



CC-SN event sequences

Sanduleak –69 202 $M \simeq 18 M_{\odot}$



+37 yr/JWST

NS \rightarrow





CC-SN event sequences

Progenitor mass?

Central engine(s)?



Sanduleak –69 202 $M \simeq 18 M_{\odot}$



? $M > 20 M_{\odot}$

Final Remnant?











CC-SN event sequences

Progenitor mass?





Distinct outlook on GW-emission by frequency and energy

(c)2024 van Putten

Final Remnant?

van Putten, Levinson, Lee, Rigimbau, Punturo & Harry, 2004, PRD 69 044007 van Putten, Levinson, Frontera, Guidorzi, Amati & Della Valle, 2019, EPJ Plus 134,





Probe by gravitational radiation

$$L_0 = \frac{c^5}{G} \simeq 200,000 \, M_\odot c^2 \mathrm{s}^{-1} \simeq 3.6 \times 10^5$$

Quadrupole GW-emission from BH central engines

$$L_{gw} = \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\delta m}{M}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M}{a}\right)^5 L_0 \sim 10^{51} \text{erg s}^{-1}$$

(c)2024 van Putten

)⁵⁹ erg s⁻¹



van Putten PRL 2001; van Putten & Levinson 2003



Lessons learned from GW170817

(c)2024 van Putten



Event sequence GW170817?



(c)2024 van Putten

AT2017gfo / KMTNet





Pozanenko et al., 2018

NS/BH?



GW170817-GW170817B/GRB170817A



(c)2024 van Putten

GW170817B 5.5σ detection by merged & independent H1, L1-analysis

van Putten, 2023, 32nd Texas Symposium Relativistic Astrophysics (invited) van Putten & Della Valle, 2023, A&A, 669, A36



... event timing and energy





$\mathscr{E}_{GW} \simeq 3.5 \,\% \, M_\odot c^2$ exceeds E_J^{HMNS} by 4x

van Putten & Della Valle 2019 MNRAS 482 L46

 $T_{GW170817B} = 3.7 \, \mathrm{s}$

KIW-11 Taiwan



.. central engine and remnant

 $F_5 - G_3^{\#}$





(c)2024 van Putten

DNS merger \rightarrow HMNS \rightarrow BH remnant



Scaling relation for BH central engines

$200 \,\mathrm{Hz}\left(\frac{2.5 \,M_{\odot}}{M}\right) \lesssim f_{gw} \lesssim 700 \,\mathrm{Hz}\left(\frac{2.5 \,M_{\odot}}{M}\right)$

(c)2024



 $E_{GW} \simeq 3.5 \% M_{\odot} c^2 \left(\frac{M}{2.5M_{\odot}}\right)$

Abchouyeh, van Putten & Amati, 2023, ApJ, 952, 157 van Putten, Della Valle & Abchouyeh, in prep.

KIW-11 2024





(c)2024 van Putten

Detector-limited horizon distance to long-duration transients



... by un-modeled search





$D = 240 \,\mathrm{Mpc}$



Horizon distance for CC-SNe?

Progenitors $M_* \gtrsim 20 M_{\odot}$ are expected to produce BH central engines of mass $M \gtrsim 5 M_{\odot}$

Compared to GW170817B/GRB170817A, this poses three advantages

- k_S : Scale to lower GW-frequency, overlap with bandwidth of maximal sensitivity $B \simeq (100 250) \, \mathrm{Hz}$
- k_E : Scale to more GW-energy, assuming similar Kerr parameter a = J/M
- k_D : Scale to more distant horizon distance by detector sensitivity improvement O4 over O2

van Putten, Della Valle, Abchouyeh, in prep.





Horizon distance (I)



 $k_s = 1.31$: gain by frequency scaling, models $k_E = \frac{M}{2.5M_{\odot}}$: gain by energy scaling in k_E



 $k_s = 1.31$: gain by frequency scaling, moving closer to the trough of optimal sensitivity

$$h_{char} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi D} \sqrt{\frac{E_{GW}}{|S|}} \simeq \frac{M}{D} \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{E}_{GW}}{M}} \propto M$$

van Putten, Della Valle, Abchouyeh, in prep.

KIW-11 Taiwan



Horizon Distance (II)

For CC-SN, expect $M \gtrsim 5M_{\odot}$ $k_{\rm s} \gtrsim 1.31$

$$k_E = \frac{M}{2.5M_{\odot}} \gtrsim 2$$

$$k_D = \frac{[O4]}{[O2]} \simeq 1.6$$
 (detector improvement)

Total gain over GW170817B/O2

$$k = k_S k_E k_D = 4.33$$











SN 2023ixf ($D \simeq 7 \,\mathrm{Mpc}$)



(c)2024







... observational opportunity

$$\mathscr{E}_{GW,th} \simeq \frac{1 \% M_{\odot} c^2}{120} \simeq 0.01 \% M_{\odot} c^2 = 10^{-4} M_{\odot} c^2 \,(<1 \text{ kHz})$$

Use-case

• Confidently detect or rule out BH spin-down (<1kHz)

Put new astrophysical bound(s) on new-born NS (>1kHz)

M101 is 6 times closer than GW170817 O4 is 1.6 times more sensitive than O2

Detection threshold by scaling of $\sim 1\,\%\,M_\odot c^2$ in probe of GW170817/O2



Conclusions and outlook

- central engine - final remnant"

Identify un-expected signals in the run-up (e.g. Imshennik & Ryazhskaya, 2004, Astron. Lett., 30, 14)

Detect or rule out BH central engines

$$200 \,\mathrm{Hz}\left(\frac{2.5 \,M_\odot}{M}\right) \lesssim f_{gw} \lesssim 700 \,\mathrm{Hz}\left(\frac{2.5 \,M_\odot}{M}\right) \quad (\text{scaling of GW170817B})$$

O4 horizon distance: $\sim 160 \,\mathrm{Mpc}$.

SN2023ixf (M101): if BH central engine is ruled out, then progenitor $< 20 M_{\odot}$ (cf. Sanduleak –69 202 to SN1987A).

Un-modeled GW-observations: new probe of CC-SNe event sequence "progenitor mass"



(c)2024

Extra slides



Detector-limited horizon distances



CBC realizes detector-limited sensitivity limit of ideal matched filtering

Geller and Huchra 1989, Science 246, 897



Time-symmetric un-modeled searches

Equal sensitivity to ascending/descending chirps Template bank: time-symmetric chirp-like templates





Butterfly matched filtering



BMF Kolmogorov spectra



Sensitivity of BMF outperforms Fourier-based analysis by over one order of magnitude

(c)2024 van Putten

van Putten, Guidorzi & Frontera ApJ (2014)





BMF sensitivity gain

• Sensitivity to ascending/descending chirps on par with CBC

gain over FFT =
$$\sqrt{\frac{N_n}{N_{FFT}}} \simeq \left(\frac{1}{2}(n+2)!\Delta N^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(n+2)}} = 2.2 \ (n=1)$$

° Gain = $\sqrt{2}$ gain $\simeq 3.11$ for joint (H1,L1)-observations

Equivalent to 10 years of improvement in detector sensitivity - bringing next-gen GW-detector sensitivity into view today

(c)2024 van Putten



